## APPENDIX D

### NONPOINT SOURCE TABLES

The tables presented in this appendix are tabularized information repeated from each individual WMA in a program-oriented format. The intent is to provide the information for quick reference outside the narrative style of the WMA sections.

A summary of NPS problems in general is presented below. A summary of water quality assessment in terms of geographical areas and NPS categories can be found in Tables 1 and 1A.

- Projected changes in land use in the North Coast Region include an increase in land devoted to vineyards and a decrease in land devoted to orchards and grazing. The Region now has two full-time staff persons working directly on hillside vineyard issues.
- Timber harvest reviews in the Region will be with greater awareness of NPS environmental concerns such as erosion control and maintenance of riparian habitat. In order to meet this challenge, the staff of the Timber Harvest Division has tripled and is actively reviewing and inspecting all Timber Harvest Plans near streams.
- The population in the Region continues to grow, especially in the southern part of the Region in the Santa Rosa Plain. This will necessitate an enhanced vigilance by the Regional Board staff over waste discharge and storm water runoff. The Region plans, as a pilot project in the Russian River WMA, to create a monitoring consortium of all dischargers, agencies and local monitoring efforts to keep track of water quality.
- The largest single pollutant on an areal basis is excess sediment much of it from rural roads. Increased water temperatures from insults to the riparian corridor follow as a close second, and nutrient enrichment, while severe in some areas, is third in areal extent.

Many waterbodies in the region are high quality waters with respect to water chemistry and conventional pollutants (when sedimentation and temperature problems are removed from the analysis). The Smith River is a jewel among north coast rivers and deserves special recognition and protection as outstanding quality. Other rivers of high quality that require protection include the Mad, Trinity, Eel, Russian, and a number of smaller coastal rivers.

The Klamath and Shasta Rivers, the Laguna de Santa Rosa, Stemple Creek, and Americano Creek are nutrient enriched partially from nonpoint sources to varying degrees. As resources permit, we are addressing those problems through outreach and special assessments to document extent of problems and sources.

Long-term goals to address NPS problems include the critical tool of assessment of the waterbodies to determine extent of problems and quantify sources. Using the assessment information in an outreach program, we strive to bring awareness to landowners about their part in reducing NPS pollution. This fostering of stewardship for the aquatic resource is complimented by an active grant program aimed at demonstration of practices to reduce NPS impacts and actual restoration of our waterbodies.

Specific short-term (1–5 years) objectives for each Watershed Management Area come from the individual WMA sections in this report and are repeated in Table 2. These tables for Big, Albion and Ten Mile Rivers have not been developed yet.

Nonpoint source pollution is the leading cause of water quality impairment in California. California's Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution Control Program has been in effect since 1988. In January 2000 the lead State agencies for the NPS Program, the SWRCB and CCC in coordination with the RWOCBs released the "Plan for California's Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program" (NPS)

Program Plan). The NPS Program Plan enhances the State's efforts to protect water quality, and to conform to the Clean Water Act Section 319 (CWA 319) and Section 6217 of the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA). The State's long-term goal is to "improve water quality by implementing the management measures identified in the California Management Measures for Polluted Runoff Report (CAMMPR) by 2013." A key element of the Program is the "Three-Tiered Approach," through which self-determined implementation is favored, but more stringent regulatory authorities are utilized when necessary to achieve implementation with its NPS problems consistent with the NPS Program Plan and its resource needs.

The State's three-tiered approach for progressive compliance and attainment of receiving water beneficial use protection from Nonpoint Source (NPS) pollution involves:

- 1. Self-directed Implementation of Best Management Practices (Tier 1)
  Tier 1 is the first and most informal level of Regional Board and/or Regional Board staff involvement. At the Tier 1 level, the discharger is expected to voluntarily identify and implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) that are intended to eliminate and/or prevent NPS pollution without threat of regulatory action. Encouragement and voluntary compliance incentives are promoted through informal staff inspections, education, training, technical assistance, funding, and demonstration projects.
- 2. Regulatory-based Encouragement of Best Management Practices (Tier 2)
  At the Tier 2 level, the Regional Board, and Regional Board staff essentially withhold direct regulatory action (like issuance of Waste Discharge Requirements [WDRs]) provided the discharger implements appropriate BMPs that are necessary to prevent NPS pollution. A formalization of this approach can be a waiver of WDRs or entering into a management agency agreement, wherein the Regional Board and discharger or responsible agency agree on actions.
- 3. Effluent Limitations (Tier 3)
  The Tier 3 level is a direct regulatory approach that may include issuance of NPDES
  Stormwater Permits, Regional Board adoption of Total Maximum Daily Load and Attainment
  Strategy Plans (Basin Plan revision), WDRs, or enforcement orders containing specific effluent
  limitations necessary to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving waters. Tier 3 places the
  discharger under formal regulation with routine inspections, discharger self-monitoring and
  reporting programs, and enforcement mechanisms in the event of non-compliance.

The North Coast Region has an established NPS policy in its Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) in Section 4: Implementation Plans. In general, the policy is to promote the implementation of best management practices and remedial projects in a three tiered approach: 1) self-determined implementation, 2) regulatory-based encouragement, and 3) effluent limitations. At the present time two action plans are contained in the NPS policy: 1) Action Plan for Logging, Construction and Associated Actions, and 2) Action Plan for Control of Discharges of Herbicide Wastes from Silvicultural Applications.

The North Coast Region has used the three tiered approach for many years and has been successful in promoting compliance through self-determined actions by dischargers. Our watershed partnership approach with animal facility operations (AFOs), including the dairy industry in the Russian/Bodega WMA is an exemplary demonstration of how the North Coast Region has implemented the three tier approach:

### Tier 1

For the last two decades Regional Board staff (in cooperation with educational and technical assistance agencies) has nurtured a working relationship of trust with AFOs to educate and promote

the development and implementation of BMPs necessary for water quality improvement and protection. Included in that outreach, technical assistance, and education effort is the grant program, where we directly oversee USEPA grants, promote and assist in obtaining other federal grant assistance (e.g., EQUIP, CRP), and promote local agency involvement in funding opportunities (City of Santa Rosa loan program). Regional Board staff also participates in a voluntary water quality monitoring program where ranchers, as a part of their ranch plan, monitor stormwater runoff with field test kits. The monitoring information, which is recorded and retained in each rancher's ranch plan, is utilized to assess the success of implemented BMPs. Acceptable monitoring results provide positive feed back to the rancher that the BMPs implemented are effective. Unacceptable monitoring results provide the rancher with the knowledge that additional or modified BMPs need to be developed and implemented.

The first significant step for a discharger is to select the means to comply. Self-directed compliance is intended to allow landowners who are not familiar with theirs lands and operations to develop a farm or ranch plan that identifies site-specific Best Management Practices (BMPs) with an implementation schedule. The self-directed monitoring elements of the implemented ranch plan also provide the discharger with a means of continued compliance assessment.

### Tier 2

The Sonoma/Marin Farm Bureau's Animal Resource Management Committee is composed of ranchers, industry representatives, private consultants, and educational, technical assistance and regulatory agencies. The Committee oversees the broad issue of management practices for water quality protection. It is a self-policing organization that addresses and responds to water quality issues, pulling in agency assistance as needed. Should Regional Board staff or the Department Fish and Game observe or become aware of an undesirable practice, the matter is referred to the Committee for correction. Permitting the Committee the opportunity to seek compliance in a non-confrontational manner has been highly effective.

Encouragement can also include progressive Regional Board and Regional Board staff enforcement, from informal staff contact to formal Regional Board enforcement actions that can include development of time schedules for compliance and monetary penalties.

### Tier 3

If the regulatory agencies observe a blatant disregard for water quality protection, they can choose to go directly to enforcement without first going through the Committee. The desired route, however, is to for industry to have the opportunity to seek correction first. On occasion, if the Committee is not successful in bringing about compliance in a timely manner, formal regulatory agency enforcement action is supported by the Committee. When Regional Board staff do become involved, a phased regulatory approach is implemented, beginning with an initial site visit often accompanied by a representative of the Committee. If staff level enforcement is not effective, the matter is elevated to more formal enforcement, such as a Cleanup and Abatement Order.

Another example of our three-tier approach is with county road erosion problems, where we first contact the county regarding a problem and work out an approach to resolution at the staff level. If timely actions are not forthcoming, we elevate the issue to more formal enforcement.

In the spirit of Tier 1, outreach and education is the main means of reaching the public and assisting them with compliance. Table 2A outlines these activities in the North Coast Region.

Table 3 is a list of Waivers of Waste Discharge by category. SB 390 requires that all of these waivers are renewed by 2003, or they will expire. Table 4 is a list of key partners with the North Coast Region who share responsibility for specific water quality issues.

In addition, the staff at the Regional Board participate on several statewide efforts such as the California Bio-diversity Council Workgroup, the Watershed Protection Council, the Anadromous Fisheries Council, the 401 Certification Group, the Urban Runoff Task Force, and the Storm Water Task Force. We also are involved in Section 7 consultations with the Army Corps of Engineers and local efforts to address NPS problems in the Humboldt Bay area, the Upper Klamath River, the Russian River, and coastal tributaries.

Table 5 outlines the North Coast Region's priority NPS implementation activities for FY 2002-03 and resources that will be used to affect those priorities. Table 6 outlines needed NPS implementation activities for FY 2004-05.

# TABLE 1: North Coast Regional NPS Problems by Management Measure Category

	Polluta	nt(s) impairing o	or threatening Be	neficial Uses Arrang	Pollutant(s) impairing or threatening Beneficial Uses Arranged by Management Measure Category	easure Category
			0	Marinas & Recreational	•	Wetlands & Vegetated
Watershed/waterbody	Agriculture	Silviculture	Urban	Boating	Hydromodification	Treatment Systems
Russian/Bodega WMA						
Estero Americano (692 ac)	Sediment/silt Nutrients				Sediment/silt	
Americano Creek (7 mi)	Nutrients					
Russian River (105mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt		Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt
Tomki Creek (18mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt				
Stemple Creek	Sediment/silt Nutrients					
Klamath WMA						
Klamath River (190mi)	Nutrients Organics/D.O.	Temperature Sediment/silt	Organics/D.O.		Temperature Sediment/silt	
Scott River (68mi)	Sediment/silt Temperature	Sediment/si It			Sediment/silt Temperature	Sediment/silt Temperature
		Temperatur e				
Shasta River (52mi)	Organics/D.O. Temperature				Organics/D.O.	Temperature
NORTH COAST RIVERS WMA						
Navarro River Delta (20 ac)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/si It				
Albion River (14mi)		Sediment/silt				
Big River (40 mi)		Sediment/silt				
Garcia River (35mi)	Temperature Sediment/silt	Temperature Sediment/silt			Temperature Sediment/silt	
Gualala River (35mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt				
Mattole River (56mi)	Sediment/sil	Sediment/si			Sediment/silt	
	ţ	It				
		ı emperatur e				

Navarro River (75mi)	Sediment/silt	Sodiment/ci	Codiment/cil	Cadiment/cilt		Cadimant/cilt
	Temperature					
	1 cmpci atai	It	<b>-</b>	Temperature		l emperature
		Temperatur				
		e				
Noyo River (35mi)		Sediment/silt				
Ten Mile River (10mi)		Sediment/silt				
Humboldt Bay WMA						
Elk River (87mi)		Sediment/silt		Sediment/silt	ıt/silt	
Freshwater Creek (73mi)		Sediment/silt		Sediment/silt	ıt/silt	
Mad River (90mi)	Sediment/silt Turbidity	Sediment/silt Turbidity		Sediment/silt Turbidity	it/silt	
Redwood Creek (65mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt				
Eel River WMA						
Eel River Delta (6350 ac)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt				
	remperature	remperature				
Eel River Middle Fork (64mi)	Sediment/silt Temperature	Sediment/silt Temperature				
E. D. D M M 4.41 (1075)	Codimentality	Coding ant/ailt				
Eel Kiver Main Middle fork (10/5mi)	Sediment/silt   Temperature	Sediment/silt Temperature				
Eel River North Fork (41mi)		Sediment/silt				
Eel River South Fork (85mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt		Sediment/silt	ıt/silt	
	Temperature	Temperature		Temperature	ıture	
Eel River Upper Main Fork (1154mi)	Sediment/sil t	Sediment/silt Temperature				
	Temperatur e					
Van Duzen River (65mi)	Sediment/sil t	Sediment/si It				
Trinity River WMA						
Trinity River (170mi)	Sediment/silt	Sediment/silt		Sediment/silt	ıt/silt	
Trinity River South Fork (80mi)	Sediment/silt Temperature	Sediment/silt Temperature		Temperature	ıture	
	<b>.</b>	•	-	_	_	

Table 1A: North Coast Groundwater Impairments

REGION	WATER BODY NAME	UNIT	CAUSES*	SIZE	SOURCES*	SIZE	SIZE
-	ALEXANDER VALLEY AREA	114.25	Oil and grease Priority organics	23	Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks	23	23
-	ANDERSON VALLEY	1-190	Oil and grease Priority organics	10 10	Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks Petroleum Activities Resource Extraction Spills	10 10 10 10	ю
-	ANNAPOLIS OHLSON RANCH	1-490	Oil and grease	10	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	10	9
-	BIG RIVER VALLEY	1-450	Oil and grease Priority organics	9 9	Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks Spills	0 0	6
-	BODEGA BAY AREA	1-210	Oil and grease Petroleum/Gasoline Priority organics	n n n	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	ω ω	6
-	CLOVERDALE AREA	114.25	Oil and grease Pesticides Priority organics	ଶ ଶ ଶ	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	6 6	n
-	EEL RIVER VALLEY	1-100	Oil and grease Priority organics	0 0	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	120	120
-	EUREKA PLAIN	1-90	Oil and grease Priority organics	09	Land Disposal Landfills Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Petroleum Activities Resource Extraction Spills	09 09 09	09
-	FORT BRAGG TERRACE AREA	1-210	Oil and grease Priority organics	24	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	24	24
-	GARBERVILLE TOWN AREA	1-320	Oil and grease Priority organics	0 0	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	6 6	ю
-	GUALALA RIVER VALLEY	1-470	Oil and grease Priority organics	8 8	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	40	ю
-	HEALDSBURG AREA	114.25	Oil and grease Priority organics	27	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks Spills	27	27
-	LEGGETT AREA	1000000	Oil and grease	7	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	2	2

Table 1A: North Coast Groundwater Impairments (cont'd)

E**		17		6		09		0				96					9				70			16				2		2		
TOTAL SIZE**		-				9		3000				93					340				7			-								
SIZE**		17	:	6	စ	9	09	3000	3000	3000	3000	96	96	96	96	96	340	340	340	340	70	70	70	16	16	16	16	2	2	2	2	
sources*		Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks Spills		Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Petroleum Activities	Resource Extraction	Spills	Agriculture	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Petroleum Activities	Resource Extraction	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Petroleum Activities	Resource Extraction	Spills	Agriculture	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor, Tanks	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Petroleum Activities	Resource Extraction	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergrnd Stor. Tanks	Spills	Lust/Leaking Undergmd Stor. Tanks	Spills	
SIZE**	2	17		6	6	09	09	3000	3000			96	96	96	96		340	0	0		70	70	70	16	16			2	2	2	7	2
CAUSES*	Priority organics	Oil and grease Priority organics		Oil and grease	Priority organics	Oil and grease	Priority organies	Oil and grease	Priority organies			Metals	Nutrients	Oil and grease	Priority organics		Oil and grease	Pesticides	Priority organics		Pesticides	Petroleum/Gasoline	Priority organics	Metals	Priority organics			Petroleum/Gasoline	Priority organics	Metals	Oil and grease	Priority organics
HYDRO		1-130		114.10		1-80		1-240				114.22					1-40				1-10			114.31				1000000		1000000		
WATER BODY NAME		LITTLE LAKE VALLEY		LOWER RUSSIAN RIVER VALLEY		MAD RIVER VALLEY		MODOC PLATEAU PVA				SANTA ROSA PLAINS					SHASTA VALLEY				SMITH RIVER PLAIN			UKIAH VALLEY				WEAVERVILLE AREA		WINDSOR AREA		
REGION		-		-		-		•				-					-				-			-				-		-		

<sup>\*</sup> Causes and Sources are not linked. \*\* "Size" refers to the affected size (square miles) of the water body and "Total Size" refers to the size of the entire water body.

**Table 2. Short Term Objectives: Russian/Bodega WMA**State Fiscal Year

	Goal	01-	te Fisc <b>02</b> -	03-	04-	05-	Management	Funded in
Objective	Ref*	01-	03	03-	05	06	Measures	FY 02-03
Outreach and enforcement to reduce discharges from hillside vineyards and other agricultural sites	1, 3, 4,	X**	X	X	?**	?	1A, E, G	Partial
Work with the local dairy industry to improve management practices.	1, 3, 4,	X	X	X	X	X	1B,C	No
Support the RCDs' efforts to address erosion and mass wasting issues in the Stemple Creek watershed.	1, 3, 4	X	X	?	?	?	1A, E, G	Yes
Review timber harvest operations for control of sediment discharges.	1, 3, 4	X	X	X	X	X	2A-F, K	
Continue in the restoration of portions of Santa Rosa Creek with issuance of waste discharge requirements for the Prince Greenway project.	1, 3, 4	X	X				5.1, 5.3	
Monitor for MTBE in lakes Sonoma and Mendocino	1	X	X				N/A	
Monitor for toxic chemicals in water, sediment, and tissue (TSMP, SMW, xenobiotic estrogens)	1, 3, 4,	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
Outreach and enforcement for rural residential roads.	1, 3, 4,		?	?	?	?	N/A	Partial
Maintain the Regional Water Board and counties' individual waste disposal systems program and promote reasonable resolution of localized problems.	1, 2, 5	X	X	X	X	X	3.4	
Promote the continuing development and application of best management practices for storage, treatment, and disposal of hazardous substances, storm water runoff, solid waste, dairy waste, municipal waste water, agricultural and industrial wastes.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	X	X	X	X	X	1D, B 3.1, 3.2, 3.3	
Establish a monitoring network in high risk/high use ground water areas.	2		?	?	?	?	N/A	
Assess nonpoint source impacts of Sonoma County landfill on Stemple Creek.	1, 2, 3,		?	?			5.2	Yes
Promote habitat/riparian restoration in existing agricultural areas	1, 3, 4,	X	X	X	X	X	1A, E 5.1, 5.2, 5.4A	Yes
Evaluate the sediment data collected by the US Geological Survey for the Russian River with respect to erosion and sedimentation issues and the anadromous fishery	3, 4	?					N/A	

				1	1			
Evaluate and pursue methods for evaluating sediment sources (e.g., satellite imagery, aerial photography)	3, 4, 5	?	?	?			N/A	
Support the development of a Budget Change Proposal requesting monitoring funds and pursue innovative approaches to funding and volunteer monitoring	1-7, 9	X	X				N/A	
Promote awareness of the effects of increased erosion on channel morphology	3, 4	X	X	X	X	X	5.1-5.4 3.1A	
Determine sources and extent of sedimentation in Cheney Gulch and refer concern to responsible agency.	5, 9	?	?				1A, E 5.1, 5.2	
Improve agency coordination regarding Bodega Harbor runoff issues and marina and dry dock operations.	5	?	?				3.1-3.3, 3.6 4.1-4.3	
Review and inspect critical construction storm water permit holders.	1-7, 9	X	X	X	X	X	3.1-3.3, 3.5, 3.6	
Continue water quality monitoring in the Russian River, Laguna de Santa Rosa and Stemple Creek	1-4, 6, 7						1A-F,3.1, 3.2,3.5, 5.1, 5.3, 6A, 6B	
Implement pollutant controls using existing regulatory programs and authorities	1-7						numerous	
Outreach and education to implement control measures and expand monitoring	1-7						numerous	
Finalize new 401 certification application package								
Cooperate with the ACOE and CDFG on the Santa Rosa Plain wetlands policies								
Streamline permitting process								No

- \* GOALS from the WMI Chapter section for the Russian/Bodega WMA
  - GOAL 1: Protect surface water uses MUN, REC-1, REC-2
  - GOAL 2: Protect and maintain ground water quality and quantity for the beneficial uses of domestic, municipal, agricultural, and industrial water supply uses
  - GOAL 3: Protect/enhance coldwater fisheries
  - GOAL 4: Protect/enhance warmwater fisheries
  - GOAL 5: Protect aquatic life and public health in Bodega Harbor
  - GOAL 6: Objectives attainment in the Laguna de Santa Rosa
  - GOAL 7: Stemple Creek and Americano Creek Waste Reduction Strategies
  - GOAL 8: Water Rights Coordination
  - GOAL 9: Assessment of Salmon Creek and other tributaries

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Klamath River WMA

	<u> </u>	1	1 130		1		3.6	F 11.
	Goal	01-	02-	03-	04-	05-	Management	Funded in
Objective	Ref*	02	03	04	05	06	Measures	FY 02-03
LOST RIVER Subwatershed	1	T	1	1	ı	1	,	
Continue existing level of								
baseline water quality								
monitoring and investigation								
of pesticide and toxics issues	2, 3	?**	?	?	?	?	1D, 2I	
Increase staff interactions								
with BOR and National								
Wildlife Refuges to document								
and understand influences of			X**	X				
Klamath Straits Drain								
discharges on downstream	2, 3						5.1A	
Klamath water quality and to	, -							
address the issues of water								
quantity, conveyance, and								
timing issues in a manner that								
better protects water quality								
Increase staff interaction with								
ODEQ and TID on review of								
existing water quality								
objectives through the			X	X				
"TMDL" process and funding	3						1A, 1E, 1F	
support for assessment of	3						1A, 1L, 11	
agricultural practices								
affecting water quality in Lost								
River and Tule Lake								
Continue existing level of								No
CWA Section 319(h) grant			?	?				110
programs for stream	1, 2	?					1G, 5.4A	
restoration on Clear Lake	1, 2	1					10, 3.4A	
tributaries								
UPPER KLAMATH Subwate	 			1		<u> </u>		
	ersneu	1	1	1		1		
Significantly increase staff								
interaction with PacifiCorp,								
BOR, Klamath Compact			X	X				
Commission, USFWS, and				1.2				
CDFG working towards	1, 2, 3,				37		O.T.	
understanding water	4				X		2L	
conveyance and flow								
scheduling as relates to water								
quality factors in the FERC								
and SWRCB water rights								
licensing processes								
Continue existing level of								
baseline monitoring,								
including Hydrolab stations	1, 2, 3,	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
in Oregon at JC Boyle and	4				•	'	11/11	
Keno with emphasis on								
documenting water quality as								

	1			_		1	1	T
it flows from above Klamath								
Straits Drain into Copco								
reservoir								
Increase staff interactions								
with ODEQ on review of								
common bi-state water			X	X				
quality objectives through the	1, 2, 3,						N/A	
"TMDL" program, including	4						IN/A	
CA concerns regarding								
Klamath water quality								
meeting recreation standards								
Increase staff time spent	1 2 3							
interacting with USFWS for	1, 2, 3,	?	?	?	?	?	2L	
KRIS maintenance and use	4							
Increase staff interaction with								
residents of Copco Reservoir	2 4		?	?			21	
regarding summertime	2, 4	?			?	?	2L	
nuisance conditions								
Continue existing level of								No
grant program for stream	1, 2, 3		?	?			5.4A	
restoration work	1, =, 5	?						
MIDDLE KLAMATH Subwa	tershed	1			ı		L	
Increase level of CDF Review							0.4.00.00	
Team meetings and	1, 4, 5						2A, 2B, 2E,	
inspections	1, 1, 5	X	X	X	X	X	2K	
Increase level of review of							2A, 2B, 2E,	
USFS Timber Sales	1, 4, 5	X	X	X	X	X	2K, 2B, 2E,	
Continue existing level of							210	
work with local community			?	?			1A, 1G, 1E,	
on sediment control in the	1, 4, 5		•	•	?	?	2L	
upper Scott River watershed							2L	
Continue existing level of								
forest herbicide application	1, 4, 5	X	X	X	X	X	2I	
	1, 4, 3	Λ	2 .	21	^	^	21	
monitoring Continue existing great								
Continue existing grant								
program for stream								
restoration and nonpoint			?	?				
source control of agricultural,								No
construction, and timberland							1 A 1 C 1 E	
in the Shasta, Scott, and	1, 4, 5	?			?	?	1A, 1G, 1E,	
Salmon rivers, concentrating					1		2L, 5.4A	
on those issues which affect								
water temperature and					1			
habitat, such as riparian								
corridors, irrigation water								
discharges					1			
Increase staff interaction with								
USFWS and CDFG towards					1			
determining specific	1 4 7		X	X	37		21	
temperature needs for fish in	1, 4, 5		1.		X		2L	
the mainstem below Iron Gate								
dam and in the Shasta and					1			
Scott rivers using the FERC					1	1		

1 1		1		1				
process to ensure adequate								
flows for migration and								
temperature maintenance								
Review grazing permits and								Partial
practices for water quality	1, 4, 5	?	?	?	?	?	1E	
compliance								
Increase baseline water	1 1 5	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
quality monitoring	1, 4, 5	1			!	1	IN/A	
Continue existing level of								
staff interaction with local			X	X				
watershed groups towards	1, 4, 5	X			X	X	2L	
developing TMDLs in	, ,							
designated sub-basins								
LOWER KLAMATH Subwa	tershed			l .			1	
Increase level of CDF Review								
Team meetings and	1, 3, 4	X	X	X	X	X	2A, 2B, 2K	
inspections								
Increase level of review of	1 2 4	37	X	X	37	3.7	24 2D 21/	
USFS Timber Sales	1, 3, 4	X			X	X	2A, 2B, 2K	
Increase staff interaction with								
private timber companies to	1 2 4		X				O.Y.	
develop long-term water	1, 3, 4	X					2L	
quality monitoring programs								
Continue existing level of								
forest herbicide application	1, 3, 4	X	X	X		X	2K	
monitoring	1, 5, 1	11			X	11	210	
Foster adaptive management						1		
based on water quality	1, 3, 4	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
findings	1, 5, 7	1		1	1	11	1 1/11	
Develop and maintain								
	1 2 4	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
additional monitoring stations	1, 3, 4	1		1 .	<i>!</i>	1	1 <b>N</b> /A	
downstream of Orleans	<u> </u>							

\*GOALs from the WMI Chapter section for the Klamath WMA

- GOAL 1: Protect and enhance the salmonid fishery (Mainstem and tributaries below Iron Gate)
- GOAL 2: Protect and enhance warmwater and endangered aquatic species
- GOAL 3: Maintain the viability of agriculture and timber uses
- GOAL 4: Maintain recreational opportunities
- GOAL 5: Protect groundwater uses

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Noyo River

Objective	Goal Ref*	01- 02	02- 03	03- 04	04- 05	05- 06	Management Measures	Funded in FY 02-03
Monitoring to determine the effectiveness of management practices to reduce erosion and sedimentation and determine trends towards the TMDL desired future condition.  Biological assessment in the	1,2	02	03	04	03	00	1E,2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 6A	11 02-03
surface waters near the Parlin Fork Conservation Camp Enhance public and agency	1							
participation to improve the recognition of land use impacts on the aquatic environment from nonpoint sources and to foster adaptive management for overall watershed health.	1,2						various	No
Improve coordination with local and State agencies s part of the TMDL implementation process.	1,2						1E,2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 6A	
Additional investigation, sampling and monitoring, and enforcement actions at mill sites that historically used wood treatment chemicals	1						5.1, 6A	
Review and inspect timber harvest plans for implementation of best management practices to ensure protection of water quality and beneficial uses. Expand program activities on private land.	1,2						2	
Continue active involvement in grant programs	1,2						various	Yes

GOAL 1: Protect surface and ground water DOM, REC-1, and REC-2 uses GOAL 2: Protect and enhance beneficial uses associated with anadromous fishes COLD

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Navarro River

		<u> </u>	1 1 00	1			
Goal	01-	02-	03-	04-	05-	Management	Funded in
Ref*	02	03	04	05	06	Measures	FY 02-03
							Yes
						1E 2 2 1 2 2	1 03
1,2						6A	
							Yes
1,2						various	
						1E,2, 3.1, 3.2,	
1,2						3.3, 5.1, 5.3,	
,							
						071	
1						5 1 6A	
1						0.1, 011	
1.2						voni ova	Yes
1,2						various	
							Partial
1 2						1A	1 411 1141
- ,—							
							Partial
1.2						1G	1 artiar
1,2						10	
1.2						2	
,							
	1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2	Goal Ref* 01-02  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2	Goal Ref* 01- 02- 03  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2	Goal Ref* 01- 02- 03- 04  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2	1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2	Goal Ref*         01- 02 03 03 04 04 05 06           1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2            1,2	Goal Ref*         01- 02 03         03- 04         04- 05         06- Measures           1,2         1,2         1E,2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 6A           1,2         1E,2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 6A           1         5.1, 6A           1,2         various           1,2         1A           1,2         1A           1,2         1A

GOAL 1: Protect surface and ground water DOM, REC-1, and REC-2 uses GOAL 2: Protect and enhance beneficial uses associated with anadromous fishes COLD

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Garcia River Watershed

Objective	Goal Ref*	01- 02	02- 03	03- 04	04- 05	05- 06	Management Measures	Funded in FY 02-03
Participate in the THP review								
team and preharvest								
inspections	1,3	X**	X	X	X	X	2A	
Review and comment on SYPs	1.0		37	37			2.4	Yes
and HCPs to ensure consistency with TMDL	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	2A	
Provide outreach and education			?	?				Yes
to local landowners	1,3	?		•	?	?	2I, 5.4A	1 03
Promote grants for restoration	1.0	37	X	X	37	37	5 4 A	Yes
(319(h), CDFG)	1,3	X			X	X	5.4A	
Review existing temperature								
data and collect more to fill	1,3	?	?	?			2B	
data gaps		1						
Review permit and plan	1,3			X			N/A	Yes
compliance with the TMDL	1,5						11/11	
Enforce on violations of the	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	Yes
Basin Plan and/or TMDL	1,5	71			71	71	14/11	
Stay involved in and promote the			37	37				
above considerations in the	1, 3	X	X	X	X	X	5.1B	
Section 404 permit process and CDFG 1603 process		Λ			Λ	Λ		
Review landowner and			?	?				Yes
county road inventories	1, 3	?					2D	1 65
Promote outsloping and								Yes
rolling dips for roads in the	1, 3	37	X	X	v	v	2C	
WMA	,	X			X	X		
Request Rangeland			X	X				Yes
Management Plans from	1,3	X					1E	
ranchers								
Promote specific								Yes
implementation plans in the	1,3		X	X			N/A	
TMDL to address identified	1,5	X			X	X	14/11	
sources			т.	-				
Implement upslope erosion	1,3	L**	L	L	L	L	1A, 2A	No
Controls  Manage and maintain groups.	,					1	,	NT
Manage and maintain properly functioning riparian zone (may			L	L				No
include promoting late seral	1,3	L	L	L	L	L	5.1B, 2B	
stage coniferous vegetation)								
Encourage bridges instead of								
culverts on fish-bearing	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	2A	
streams		<i>A</i>			11	A.		
Work with the Mendocino								
County Health Department to			?					
educate users of agricultural	2	?					N/A	
and residential storage tanks								
on pollution prevention	1.0					1	511 515	
Monitor applications of the	1,3						5.1A, 5.1B	

Mendocino County Garcia River Gravel Management Plan		?	?	?				
Review effectiveness of current enhancement projects	1,3	X					2K	
Encourage maintenance of adequate stream flows	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	6B	
Consider effects of off-stream water supply pits and channel stability and discourage direct diversion for road watering/dust control	1,3		?	?	?	?	2A, 5.1A	

<sup>\*</sup> GOALS from WMI Chapter for the Garcia River Watershed

- GOAL 1: Protect and enhance salmonid resources (COLD, MIGR, SPWN, RARE)
- GOAL 2: Protect and enhance ground water resources and attendant high beneficial uses
- GOAL 3: Protect all other surface water uses

L = Landowner responsibility under the TMDL

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Gualala River Watershed

			ate 1 150	Tur I cu	1		1	
Objective	GOAL Ref*	01- 02	02- 03	03- 04	04- 05	05- 06	Management Measures	Funded in FY 02-03
Monitor to determine the effectiveness of management practices to reduce erosion								Partial
and sedimentation	1	X**	X	?	?	?	1A, 2	
Assess bacterial quality in two high use recreation areas	3	?	?				4.2A & C	
Education and outreach to improve the recognition of land use impacts on the aquatic environment from nonpoint sources	1,3	X	X				2L, 3.6A	Yes
Coordinate through the GRWC on a monthly basis, and with other entities as needed	1,2,3	X	X				1G, 2L, 3.6A, 5.4A	Yes
Investigate ground water petroleum contamination	2	X					N/A	
Continue involvement in grant programs for NPS and fisheries	1	X	X	X	X	X	5.4A	Yes
Continue involvement in forestry, grazing, and county road issues	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	2A,B,C,D,E,F ,H,K,L	Partial

<sup>\*</sup> GOALS from the WMI Chapter for the Gualala River Watershed

- GOAL 1: Protect and enhance salmonid resources (COLD, MIGR, SPWN, RARE)
- GOAL 2: Protect and enhance ground water resources and attendant high beneficial uses
- GOAL 3: Protect all other surface water uses

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Humboldt Bay WMA

Okiostina	GOAL	01-	02-	03-	04-	05-	Management	Funded in FY
Objective Review timber landowners'	Ref*	02	03	04	05	06	Measures	02-03
Sustained Yield Plans and Habitat Conservation Plans for protection of beneficial uses.	1	X**	X	X	X	X	2A	
Maintain an active timber harvest review program and promote enforcement actions on violations	1	X	X	X	X	X	2A	
Impose penalties on animal facilities with repeated non-compliance	1	?**	?	?	?	?	1B	Yes
Continue active participation in Vegetation Management Advisory Committee (CalTrans) and assist CalTrans in the development of a study of herbicide runoff from highway spraying operations	1	X	X	X	X	X	1D, 3.5D	
Promote watershed analysis of Humboldt Bay tributaries within the scope of the Pacific Lumber Company Habitat Conservation Plan	1, 4	X	X	X	X	X	2A	
Identify sources of existing ground water information.	2	?	?				N/A	
Participate in local outreach programs, such as the Humboldt Bay Symposium.	2	?	?				1G, 2L, 3.6A	
Provide information for accessing 319(h) and Proposition 13 grant funds for the agricultural, timber and urban/rural communities.	2, 4	X	X	X	X	X	1G, 2l, 3.6A	
Continue involvement with local efforts to coordinate monitoring	3	X	X	X	X	X	1G, 2L, 3.6A	Yes
Enhance the existing monitoring activities by volunteers	3	?	?	?	?	?		
Maintain involvement in the gravel bar mining, especially as relates to channel stability.	4	X	X	X	X	X	5.1 A & B	
Staff will continue to support and encourage the Humboldt Shellfish Technical Advisory Committee.	5	X	X	X	X	X	4.1A	
Continue investigations at the Eureka Waterfront area to eliminate petroleum, metals, and organic chemical pollution and threats	5	X	X	X	X	X	4.1A	
Continue review of land use practices within the Humboldt Bay Watershed to ameliorate impacts from runoff sources.	5	?	?	?	?	?	1A, 1D, 2E, 2I, 3.4B	
Seek funding to improve	1	?			?	?	1G, 2L	

interagency coordination to				1			=	Partial
assist with identification of			?	?				raitiai
problem areas, conduct outreach			1	-				
programs and coordinate								
enforcement activities for								
erosion control								
Encourage local agencies to							1.	
adopt and enforce local	1	?	?	?	?	?	1A	
ordinances for erosion control								
Conduct community education								
	1	?			?	?	1G	Yes
and outreach programs.			?	?				
Perform watershed assessments,							27/1	
including bacterial sampling	1	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
Follow up on MTBE detections			+	<u> </u>				
at Ruth Lake, Mad River	1	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
watershed	1	•	1	1	1	•	11/11	
		+	+					
Require regular monitoring of							37/4	
water quality at nonpoint source	1	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
facility discharge points.								
Require water quality	4						2	
monitoring of THPs by PALCO	4						2	
Seek additional funding for					1			. <u></u>
regulatory oversight of		37			37	37	3.7/4	
investigations and cleanups	1	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
along the waterfront.			1	11				
Require regular monitoring of								
			?	?				
nearby surface water bodies in	1	?	!	!	?	?	1D, 2I	
association with the application							,	
of herbicides								
Seek increased funding to								
conduct inspections and water	1	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
quality monitoring								
Pursue additional Regional								
Water Board funding (PYs) to	2	X			X	X	N/A	
identify ground water	2	Λ	X	X	Λ	Λ	IN/A	
monitoring needs.								
Pursue additional Regional								
Water Board funding (PYs) to							1A-G, 2L, 3.6,	No
conduct nonpoint source	2	X	X	X	X	X	4.3, 5.4, 6D	110
			Λ	Λ			4.5, 5.4, 0D	
inspections.				-				
Pursue additional Regional			37	37				
Water Board funding (PYs) to	_		X	X			27/4	
store, analyze, and assess	2	X			X	X	N/A	
existing information and to					1			
develop GIS support.								
Increase coordination and								
cooperation with the RCDs and	2.5	9			0	0	1D 1E 1C	
the agricultural community to	2, 5	?	?	?	?	?	1B, 1E,1G	
advance to Title 27 requirements					1			
Prevent access and discharge to	_	1_	X	X	1_	+_		
waste pits and ponds	2	X			X	X	N/A	
Continue to coordinate with the		1	+	+		+		
county to review septic system	2	X	v	v	X	X	3.4B	
situations to avoid ground water			X	X	1			
contamination.						_		
A monitoring workshop should					1			
be held in the Humboldt Bay							1D 1C 2I	
area to coordinate among	3	?			?	?	1B,1G, 2L,	
private, public groups, HSU,							3.6A, 4.1A	
Shellfish TAC and other			?	?	1			
	<u> </u>						1	

Coordinate assessment and	3	?	?	?	?	?	16. 21. 2.64
monitoring activities with local agencies and groups	3	!	!	!	!	!	1G, 2L, 3.6A
Seek funding for a local							
Database/GIS System and	3	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
coordinator							
Identify opportunities for							
redirection of staff resources and							
funding into additional	3	X	X	X	X	X	N/A
assessment and monitoring							
functions.							
Support and promote educational							
opportunities for permitting,							
erosion control, wetlands values,					_		1G, 2L, 3.6A,
and aquatic habitat restoration,	1, 2, 3, 4	?			?	?	5.4A, 5.3A
develop a matrix of agencies and							0.171, 0.071
responsibilities to distribute at							
local permit centers. Tax							
Utilize Water Quality	2	0			0	0	14.2
Attainment Strategies	3	?			?	?	1A, 2
("TMDL") for reduction of			0	0			
Look at restoration projects from	2	0	?	?	0	0	NI/A
the standpoints of utility and	3	?			?	?	N/A
Obtain dradging records to again							
Obtain dredging records to assist							
in the assessment of upslope	3	?	?	?	?	?	5.1A & B
activities and larger problems downstream in the waterways			!	1			
Seek additional funding for staff							
and laboratory services to			X	X			
inspect and monitor water	3	X	Λ	Λ	X	X	N/A
quality							
Address Clean Water Act							
Section 303(d) for the Mad	4	X			X	X	1G, 2L, 3.6A,
River, Redwood Creek,		11			11	21	5.4A
Improve habitat conditions for							
anadromous fishes by assisting							
and coordinating with CDFG			?	?			
and local agencies and groups in	,						
fishery assessment and by	4	?			?	?	2L, 5.4A
promoting grant funding for							
stream rehabilitation and							
monitoring.							
							5.4A 2L 1C
Promote enhancement of	4	X			X	X	5.4A, 2L, 1G,
riparian areas.			X	X			6D
Support use of the State Mussel							
Watch Program within the Bay.	5	?			?	?	4.1A
In cooperation with the					 		
Department of Health Services,			?	?			
Shellfish Program, explore	5	?	1	ī	?	?	N/A
pathogen issues with University	,	1			•	•	17/11
of California at Davis							
or Carrottina at Davis		1				1	

<sup>\*</sup> GOALS from the WMI Chapter section for the Humboldt Bay WMA

- GOAL 1: Protect surface water uses MUN, REC-1, REC-2, NAV, WILD, EST, MAR, MIGR, SPWN, SHELL
- GOAL 2: Protect ground water uses MUN, IND, AGR, REC-1, REC-2
- GOAL 3: Further and continued assessment and monitoring
- GOAL 4: Protect/enhance cold water fisheries
- GOAL 5: Protection of the commercial and recreational shellfish uses

Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Eel River WMA

Objective	GOAL Ref*	01- 02	02- 03	03- 04	04- 05	05- 06	Management Measures	Funded in FY 02-03
Develop strategies to implement and enforce best management practices for	1	X**	0.0		X	X	1D, 1G, 2I, 2L, 3.6A, 3.5B, 3.5D, 3.5F,	11 02 00
Work with the timber industry to address timber harvest impacts and issues (. Work with USFS regarding timber harvest related activities, including road building and road abandonment, in the upper Eel Basin.	1	X	X	X	X	X	2A,B,C,D,E,I	
Investigate herbicide impacts to surface and ground water. Participate in Vegetation Management Advisory Committee.	1	?**	?	?	?	?	1D, 2I, 3.5D, 3.5F	
Promote grants for nonpoint source studies and implementation	1	X	X	X	X	X	5.4A	Yes
Increase coordination with RCD and agricultural community to address rangeland issues and confined animal problems.	1, 2	X	X	X	X	X	1B, 1E, 1G	Partial
Continue on-going activities associated with known ground water contamination	3	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
Prevent access to waste pits and ponds.	3	X	X	X	X	X	N/A	
Coordinate with the counties on septic system situations and reporting on septage disposal.	3	X	X	X	X	X	3.4B	
Promote erosion control educational materials and	1	?			?	?	1G, 2L, 3.6A, 5.4A,6A	Yes
Compare new air photos with historical air photos and note changes in the morphology of channels.	1	?	?	?	?	?	1G, 2L, 3.6A, 3.5B, 3.5E	
Develop a road map of groups/agencies responsible to assist an individual landowner in a given waterbody or type of problem or situation.	1	?	?	?	?	?	1G, 2L, 3.6A, 5.4A,6A	
Inspect construction sites for erosion controls, encourage local agencies to adopt and	1	?	?	?	?	?	3.2A, 3.2B, 5.4A	

C 1 1 1 C	I	1						
enforce local ordinances for								
erosion control. Increase								
storm water program								
resources								
Fund PYs for coordinating								Partial
our functions with other	1	0	?	?	?	0	1G, 2L, 3.6A,	
agencies on a watershed	1	?			!	?	3.5B, 3.5E	
basis.							,	
Improve water quality								
assessment and monitoring	1	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
	1	?	'	'	'	'	IN/A	
activities			1	1	1	1		
Tax incentives for erosion								
control and aquatic	1	?			?	?	N/A	
restoration activities should		•	?	?			1 1/1 1	
be supported and pursued.								
Promote enhancement of								Yes
riparian areas through grant								
funding, public education and		0				0	10.01.544	
outreach, and coordination	1	?	?	?	?	?	1G, 2L, 5.4A	
and assistance to other			`	`				
agencies and groups.								
Improve habitat conditions								Yes
								1 65
for anadromous fishes by	1	0			0	0	C 1 A C 4 A	
assisting and coordinating	1	?	?	?	?	?	5.1A, 5.4A	
with CDF&G and local								
agencies and groups.								
Coordinate water rights/dams								
issues with SWRCB and	1	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
other agencies.								
Be part of the process and								
decision criteria regarding								
amounts, locations, and	1	?	?	?	?	?	5.1A, 5.1B	
seasonality of gravel	_		`	`	`			
extractions								
Encourage the local planning								
agencies to endorse the								
concept of a riparian corridor								
reserve and develop a model								
erosion control ordinance for								
all grading and building								
projects less than 5 acres in	1	?	?	?	?	?	1A, 3.1, 3.5A	
size. Coordinate with local								
agencies, CalTrans, and the								
Railroad Authority to develop								
and implement best								
management practices for								
erosion control.								
Develop and implement a						1		
focused sampling program for								
temperature, sediment								
•	1, 2	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
loading, geomorphology			'	'				
changes and water quality in								
upper mainstem Eel River.			1	1	1	1		
Support CDFG efforts to					_	_		
identify the extent of	1	X			X	X	N/A	
squawfish predation on			X	X	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

2	?	?	?	?	?	N/A	
			?				
		?					
2	?			?	?	5.1A	
			X				
2	X	X		X	X	N/A	
•	0					10.01.544	
2	?	?	?	?	?	1G, 2L, 5.4A	
		?	?				
2						10.21.264	
3	?			?	?	1G, 2L, 3.6A	
	2	2 ? 2 X 2 ?	2 ? ? ? 2 2 ? ? ? ? ? ?	2 ? ? ? 2 X X X X 2 2 ? ? ? ? ?	2 ? ? ? ? ?  2 Y ? ?  2 Y ? ? ?  2 P ? ? ?	2 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 2 2 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	2 ? ? ? ? ? 5.1A  2 X X X X X N/A  2 ? ? ? ? ? 1G, 2L, 5.4A  ? ? ?

<sup>\*</sup> GOALS from the WMI Chapter section for the Eel River WMA

- GOAL 1: Protect and enhance the salmonid resources (COLD)
- GOAL 2: Protect other surface water uses (MUN, AGR, REC 1, REC-2)
- GOAL 3: Protect ground water uses (MUN, IND. AGR, REC-1, REC-2)
- GOAL 4. Protect warmwater fishery resources

**Table 2 – Short Term Objectives: Trinity WMA** 

	GOAL	01-	02-	03-	04-	05-	Management	Funded in
Objective	Ref*	02	03	04	05	06	Measures	FY 02-03
Increase level of CDF Review							24 20 25	Yes
Team activities including	1.2	37	37	37	37	37	2A, 2B, 2E,	
inspections	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	2K	V
Increase level of review of USFS Timber Sales	1,3	X	X	X	X	X	2A, 2B, 2E, 2K	Yes
Increase implementation of								Partial
USFS/SWRCB MAA for							24 2D 2E	
non-timber NPS issues for	1,3						2A, 2B, 2E, 2K	
Shasta/Trinity National							ZK	
Forest								
Inventory and assess								No
abandoned and active mines	2, 3						N/A	
and remediate as necessary								
Investigate and assess old	• •						27/1	No
burn dumps for hazardous	2,3						N/A	
materials release								
Review restoration and								3.7
habitat enhancement projects							1C, 1D,	No
for implementation of Best	1,2,3						1E,5.1, 5.3,	
Management Practices (BMP)	<i>y y</i> -						6B	
and NPS Management Measures (MM)								
Assess roads associated with								No
Buckhorn Dam for erosion	1, 3						2D, 5.2	NO
control and upslope slumping	1, 3						2D, 3.2	
Investigate and assess onsite								?
disposal systems for	2						3.4	•
compliance	_						3.1	
Monitor projects to determine								No
the effectiveness of BMPs	1, 3						Various	110
and MMs	,							
Continue outreach, education,								?
and coordination with locals,	1, 2, 3						1G, 2L, 3.6,	
and the TRTF through the	1, 2, 3						5.4, 6D	
TMDL process								
Continue to implement the 404/401 certification process	1, 2, 3						1, 2, 5, 6	?
Increase level of								No
investigation, monitoring and								INU
enforcement of petroleum and	_							
wood treatment chemical	2						3.3	
contamination of ground								
water								
Continue active involvement			X	X				Partial
in federal and state grant								
programs, promote local	1, 3	X			X	X	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	
activities and watershed	-							
groups								
Adopt an implementation	1, 3						1A, 2, 3.5F, 5, 6	
plan for sediment control	1, 5						5, 6	

- \* GOALS from the WMI Chapter section for the Trinity WMA
   GOAL 1: Protect and enhance salmonid resources (COLD,MIGR, SPWN, RARE)
   GOAL 2: Protect and enhance ground water resources and attendant beneficial uses
  - **GOAL 3: Protect all other surface water uses**

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Regionwide

Target Audience	Education/Outreach/ Assistance GOALs	Product(s)	Staff or Contract	Management Measure Category
Water quality monitors	<ul> <li>Monitoring Study Group</li> <li>Measure effectiveness of BMPs</li> </ul>	Design of monitoring programs	Staff	2
Public and timber industry	<ul> <li>Cumulative         Watershed Effects         Workshop         Educate about the         current process</li> </ul>	• Evaluate cumulative watershed effects	Staff	2
Staff, agencies, timber industry	<ul> <li>Erosion Control Seminar</li> <li>Convey newest/best techniques of erosion control</li> </ul>	Erosion control on roads and large land clearings (such as vineyards)	Staff	2
Forest herbicide users	<ul> <li>Weed Seminar</li> <li>Review/update on regulations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Protect water quality from herbicides</li> </ul>	Staff	2
Agencies and watershed groups	<ul> <li>Completion of         Watershed         Assessment         Efforts         Assessment goals         for individual         WMAs</li> </ul>	Completed     watershed     assessments	Staff	1,2,3, 5,6
Elementary school children	Understanding the importance of clean water	Active citizens	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6, 4.3, 5.4, 6D

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Russian/Bodega Watershed Management Area

	Education/Outreach/		Staff or	Management Measure
Target Audience	<b>Assistance GOALs</b>	Product(s)	Contract	Category
Growers, landowners	<ul> <li>Reduce discharges</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced</li> </ul>	Staff	1A, 1E, 1G
	from hillside	erosion and		
	vineyards and	sedimentation		
	other agricultural	<ul> <li>Reduced</li> </ul>		
	sites	nutrient		
		discharges		
Local dairy industry	<ul> <li>Improve</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced</li> </ul>	Staff	1B, 1C
	management	erosion and		
	practices	sedimentation		
		<ul> <li>Reduced</li> </ul>		
		nutrient		
		discharges		
Rural residential road	<ul> <li>Road</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced</li> </ul>	Staff	Various
owners	restoration/retirem	erosion and		
	ent and repairs	sedimentation		
		<ul> <li>Improve</li> </ul>		
		anadromous		
		fish habitat		
Agricultural producers	<ul> <li>Promote</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improve habitat</li> </ul>	Staff	1A, 1E, 5.1,
	habitat/riparian	conditions for		5.2, 5.4A
	restoration in	anadromous		
	existing	fishes		
	agricultural areas			
	<ul> <li>Fishery</li> </ul>			
	assessment			
	<ul> <li>Promote grant</li> </ul>			
	funding for stream			
	ICHADIHIAUM			

Landowners	•	Promote	•	Enhanced	Staff	5.1 - 5.4, 3.1A
		awareness of the		salmonid		
		effects of		habitat		
		increased erosion				
		on channel				
		morphology				
Other agencies	•	Improve agency	•	Improve water	Staff	3.1-3.3, 3.6,
		coordination		quality in		4.1-4.3
		regarding Bodega		Bodega Bay		
		Harbor runoff				
		issues and marina				
		and dry dock				
		operations				
	•	Encourage the				
		pursuit of a 205(j)				
		grant.				

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Klamath Watershed Management Area

	Education/Outreach/		Staff or	Management Measure
Target Audience	Assistance GOALS	Product(s)	Contract	Category
<b>LOST RIVER Subwatershed</b>	ershed			
Watershed groups, non-profits	CWA 104, 205(j), 319(h) and Fish and Game 271 grants	Grant projects for control of tailwater	Staff	1F, 1C, 1G, 5.4A, 6D
<b>UPPER KLAMATH Subwatershed</b>	owatershed			
Watershed groups, non-profits	CWA 104, 205(j), 319(h) and Fish and Game 271 grants	Grant projects	Staff	5.4A
MIDDLE KLAMATH Subwatershed	ubwatershed			
	Promote assessment	Sediment control in		
Local community	and restoration activities	the upper Scott River watershed	Staff	1A, 1G, 1E, 2L
		Grant projects for		
		nonpoint source		
		control of		
		construction and		
	CWA 104, 205(j),	timberland in the		
Watershed groups, nonprofits	319(h) and Fish and Game 271 grants	Shasta, Scott, and Salmon rivers	Staff	1A, 1G, 1E, 2L, 5.4A
		Development of TMDLs in		
Watershed groups,	Attend watershed	designated sub-	Staff	16
LOWER KLAMATH Subwatershed	abwatershed			
	Foster long-term	Monitoring data		
Timber companies	water quality monitoring	and water quality trends	Staff	21.

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Garcia River Watershed

					Management
	Education/Outreach/			Staff or	Measure
Target Audience	Assistance GOALS	]	Product(s)	Contract	Category
Local landowners	<ul><li>Increase</li></ul>	• F	Enhance	Staff	2I, 5.4A
	awareness of	ಡ	anadromous		
	nonpoint source	Ţ	fish resources		
	pollution				
Watershed groups,	• CWA 104, 205(j),	•	Grant projects	Staff	5.4A
nonprofits, agencies	319(h) and fish		ı		
	and Game 271				
	grants				
Ranchers	<ul> <li>Rangeland Water</li> </ul>	• R	Reduced	Staff	1E
	Quality	e	erosion,		
	Management Plans	Š	sedimentation		
		В	and nutrient		
		p	delivery to		
		S	surface waters		
Users of agricultural	<ul> <li>Education through</li> </ul>	• P	Prevent	Staff	N/A
and residential storage	the Mendocino	d	pollution from		
tanks	County Health	S	storage tanks		
	Department				

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Gualala River Watershed

Target Audience	Education/Outreach/ Assistance GOALS	Product(s)	Staff or Contract	Management Measure Category
Landowners, watershed groups	<ul> <li>Recognition of land use impacts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved anadromous</li> </ul>	Staff	2L, 3.6A
	on the aquatic environment from	fish habitat • Reduction in		
	nonpoint sources	erosion and sedimentation		
Watershed groups,	• CWA 104, 205(j),	<ul> <li>Grant projects</li> </ul>	s Staff	5.4A
nonprofits, agencies	319(h) and Fish	<ul> <li>Improved</li> </ul>		
	and Game 271	anadromous		
	grants	fish habitat		
Gualala River	<ul> <li>Attend meetings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stakeholder</li> </ul>	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6A,
Watershed Council	<ul> <li>Consult with other</li> </ul>	involvement		5.4A
	entities and			
	agencies			

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Humboldt Watershed Management Area

Target Audience	Education/Outreach/ Assistance GOALS	Product(s)	Staff or Contract	Management Measure Category
Agencies, Watershed groups, public	<ul> <li>Provide information</li> <li>Receive input from agencies and the public</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Interagency coordination</li><li>Stakeholder involvement</li></ul>	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6A
Landowners: agricultural community	• CWA 104, 205(j), 319(h) and Fish and Game 271 grants	Grant projects	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6A
Local watershed groups	Coordination of volunteer monitoring	Monitoring data	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6A
Agricultural and timber industries and urban dwellers	Better     understanding of     cold water     fisheries needs	Improved     anadromous     fish habitat	Staff	1G, 2L, 3.6A
The public and private industries	Provide     information on     good management     practices	<ul> <li>Protection of surface water beneficial uses</li> <li>Erosion control</li> </ul>	Staff	1G, 2L
Cattle producers	<ul> <li>Promote good management practices</li> <li>Implement the California Rangeland Water Quality Management Plan</li> </ul>	Reduce erosion     Reduce     nonpoint source     waste discharge	Staff	1G, 1E
The public, local, city, state agencies, private industry	Educational     program	Prevention of toxic discharges to ground water	Staff	1G

1B, 1E, 1G	1G, 1C, 1E, 3.6A	1B, 1G, 2L, 3.6A, 4.1A	1G, 2L, 3.6A	1A, 1G, 2L, 5.4A, 5.3A
Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff
Avoid ground water contamination	Proper disposal of nonpoint source wastes	Data exchange Standardization of monitoring protocols Standardization of volunteer monitoring Coordinating data collection and analysis	Watershed Plans Trends in water quality and habitat trends	Educational materials and opportunities for permitting, erosion control, wetlands values, and aquatic habitat restoration Enhanced cold water fisheries
<ul><li>Foster cooperation and coordination</li><li>Educational meetings</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Promote use of wastes at agronomic rates</li> <li>Promote the Rangeland Water Quality Management Plan Increase interagency coordination</li> </ul>	Monitoring     workshop	<ul> <li>Watershed     assessment     Obtain monitoring     data</li> </ul>	Placing     educational     handouts at local     permit offices     Develop a road     map of     groups/agencies     responsible to     assist an     individual     landowner
Confined animal facilities, rangeland owners, RCDs	The public and agencies	Private, public groups, HSU, and other agencies	Watershed groups	The public, small and rural landowners

	1G, 2L, 3.6A, 5.4A	1G, 2L, 3.6A, 5.4A	5.4A, 2L, 1G, 6D
	Staff	Staff	Staff
Increased     assessment and     monitoring	Enforce best management practices for nonpoint source regulation	• Establish sediment reduction strategies	Enhancement     of riparian     areas
<ul> <li>Erosion control for small and rural landowners</li> <li>Develop a matrix of agencies and responsibilities to distribute at local permit centers</li> </ul>	Reduce nutrient, sediment, and chemical discharges from nonpoint sources.	Assessment of sources, assessment of impairments, development of quantifiable targets, consideration of feasible solutions to reduce sources, and coordinated monitoring	• To improve riparian functions for shading, buffering land use impacts, bank stabilization, and habitat
	Landowners, construction, siliviculture, agriculture industries	Landowners	Watershed groups, other agencies

TABLE 2A: Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance

Watershed: Eel River Watershed Management Area

Target Audience	Education/Outreach/ Assistance GOALS	sh/	Product(s)	Staff or Contract	Management Measure Category
Local Landowners in	• TMDL	•	Guidance on	Staff	1G,
Rivers	Provide sediment		DIVIL S		2L, J.OA
	reduction				
	strategies (BMPs)	<u>(s</u>			
Local watershed	• CWA 104, 319(h)	1)	Grant projects	Staff	5.4A
groups, agencies,	& 205(j) and Fis	ų			
RCDs etc	& Game 271				
	grants				
Small and Rural	Promote erosion	•	Educational	Staff	1G,
landowners	controls		handouts		2l, 3.6A,
Public agencies,	Enhancement of	•	Grant projects	Staff	1G,
watershed groups,	riparian areas	•	Educational		2L, 3.6A
RCDs			materials		5.4A
Watershed Groups	Seal waste pit and	• pı	Host watershed	Staff	
	spuod		group meetings		1C, 1E, 1F,
	Education on	•	Implementation		1G
	BMPs		of Rangeland		
			Management		
			Planning		
			process		
Public, local, city,	<ul> <li>Reduce discharges</li> </ul>	• se	Educational	Staff	1G
State agencies, and private industry	of toxic chemicals	Is	program		2L
,		-			

TABLE 3: WAIVERS OF WASTE DISCHARGE (General Categories)

Waiver No./Name/Description	Management Measures	Review Schedule
Air conditioner, noncontact cooling		All waivers will be reviewed by
and elevated temperature waters	3.3	January 1, 2003
Drilling muds (not geothermal		
drilling muds)	N/A	
Clean oils	1D, 3.1, 3.2	
Minor dredge operations	5.1	
Inert solid wastes (nonwater		
soluable, non-decomposable, non-	N/A	
hazardous i.e. earth, rock, concrete)		
Test pumpings of fresh water wells	N/A	
Storm water runoff	3.1, 3.2, 3.3	
Erosion from minor construction		
projects	3.2	
Pesticide rinse waters from		
applicators	1D	
Confined animal wastes	1B	
Minor stream channel alterations		
and suction dredging	5.1	
Small, short-term sand, gravel, and		
quarry operations	5.1	
Small mining operations	N/A	
Swimming pool discharges	3.3	
Food processing wastes spread on		
land	1C, 1F	
Agricultural commodity wastes	1C, 1F	
Industrial wastes used for soil	,	
amendments	1C, 1F	
Timber harvesting	2	
Minor hydro projects	5	
Irrigation return water	1F	
Projects where appilcation for		
Water Quality Certification has		
been requested	3.2, 5	
Individual sewage disposal systems		
and small community, commercial,		
IIISUTUUUOIIAI, AIIU IIIUUSUTAI		

	7 0	
operations which utilize on-site	5.4	
wastewater treatment and disposal		
for domestic wastes		
Flow-though seawater systems and		
aquacultural operations	4.2B	
Dewatering at construction projects	3.2	
Use of reclaimed wastewater for		
soil compaction or dust control, and	3.2	
other construction purposes		
Discharge from flushing of		
domestic water lines and tanks	3.3	
Lake or reservoir drainage projects	N/A	
Discharge from hydrostatic test		
lines	3.2, 3.3	
Low volume, noncontaminated		
wastewaters generated by the	3.1, 3.2, 3.3	
installation and purging of		
monitoring wells during ground		
water contamination investigations		
Discharges associated with the		
incineration of soils contaminated	3.3	
with petroleum hydrocarbons		

TABLE 4: North Coast Region Key Partners

		Target date for review (existing) or	
Existing or Potential Partner Agency:	MOU/MAA Title Content of potential/revised agreements:	adoption (potential):	Management Measure Categories:
Sonoma County and the	for	Monthly	HVOC ground water
South Park County Sanitation District	Roseland Area	reports, Final Report	plume, (maybe 3.3A)
Humboldt Bay	Regional Water Board Resolution No. 94-78 established the	A report was	4.2B (maybe 1B, 1C)
Shellfish Technical	TAC per the Shellfish Protection Act of 1993. The purpose	submitted in	
Advisory Committee	of the TAC is to advise and assist the Regional Water Board	May 1999	
(includes: shellfish	in developing an investigation and recommendation strategy	with	
industries, local	to control pollution from commercial shellfish growing	recommendati	
wastewater treatment	waters in Humboldt Bay and to pursue appropriate funding.	ons. A	
plants, regulatory		bacteria study	
agencies, agricultural &		of runoff to	
environmental		the Bay is	
interests)		currently	
		underway and	
		funded by the	
		State Water	
		Resources	
		Control	
		Board.	

PROPOSED SFY 2002/03 NONPOINT SOURCE RESOURCE ALLOCATION (Includes activities for which funding has not been identified; Does not include TMDL activities not funded by 319(h)) TABLE 5:

Task	Product	Management Measure(s)	Staff or Contract	Cost
Hillside vineyard education, outreach, inspections and				
enforcement	Fewer erosion sites	1A, E, G	Staff	\$220,000
Dairy outreach	Control of dairy waste	1B,C	Staff	\$110,000
TMDL Implementation		(		•
Tasks	Fewer erosion sites	1,2,5	Staff	\$110,000
Perform nonpoint source	Increased awareness	1A-G, 2L, 3.6,		
inspections and follow-up	Enforcement of problems	4.3, 5.4, 6D	Staff	\$330,000
Timber harvest plan	Fewer erosion sites			
review and inspection	Improve riparian zone	2A-F, K	Staff	\$3.1 M
Maintain individual waste				
disposal systems program	Public health protection	3.4	Staff	\$33,000
Promote riparian zone	Improved flood plain function			
restoration and channel	Less stream bank erosion	1A, E		
morph considerations	Less aggradation/degradation	5.1, 5.2, 5.4A	Staff	\$55,000
NPS grant outreach and				
management	More NPS controls in place	5.4A	Staff	\$165,000
Monitor effectiveness				
of TMDL and				
management practices	More effective NPS program			
to reduce erosion and	Improved ability to judge			
sedimentation	control mechanisms	1A, 2	Staff	\$385,000
	Less erosion			
Increase RCD	Improved riparian zones			
coordination to address	Lower water temperatures			
rangeland and confined	Improved animal waste			
animal runoff problems	management	1B, 1E, 1G	Staff	\$110,000

STAFF COST – 1 PY = \$110,000Contract cost is for the entire contract even if it is a multi-year contract.

TABLE 6: NPS RESOURCE NEEDS 2002/03 THROUGH 2004/05

		Management	Geographic	State Fiscal	Est. Cost
Task	Product	Measure(s)	Area	Year	PYs/Dollars
	• Fewer erosion sites and			2002 - 20053	
	sources of sedimentation		Mendocino,		
	<ul> <li>Road retirement</li> </ul>		Modoc, Six		
	<ul> <li>Improved riparian habitat</li> </ul>		Rivers,		
Implement	<ul> <li>Fire management</li> </ul>	, 2C,	Klamath, and		
<b>USFS/SWRCB MAA</b>	<ul> <li>Wetlands protection</li> </ul>	2D,2G,2H,2I,	Shasta/Trinity		
for non-timber NPS		2J, 5.1, 5.3,6A,	National		
activities		6B	Forests		2.5 PYs
		2C,			
		2D,2G,2H,2I,			
	Less stream sedimentation and			2002-2005	
Rural Road Issues	fish passage blockages	6B	Regionwide		$2.0  \mathrm{PYs}$
		2C,			
		2D,2G,2H,2I,		2002-2005	
	Less stream sedimentation and				
Ranch Plan Reviews	fish passage blockages	6B	Regionwide		$0.5  \mathrm{PYs}$

STAFF COST = 1PY = \$110,000